



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/696,882	10/26/2000	William A. Wandersleven	11636-002001	3702		
26211	7590 03/25/2003					
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 45 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE 2800 NEW YORK, NY 10111			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, LE V			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2174	A		
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SV

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		09/696,882		WANDERSLEVEN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Le Nguyen		2174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri d for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-fir	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from considera	ation.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.	~					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirer	nent.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 October 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been recei	ved.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).		
	The translation of the foreign language practice. The translation of the foreign language practice.	• •					
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC			
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary		Part of	Paper No. 4		

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: cursor 18 of fig. 5A. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 8-18 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watson et al. ("Watson", US 5,912,666).

As per claim 12, Watson teaches a computer program residing on a computer-readable medium, comprising instructions for causing a computer to:

Application/Control Number: 09/696,882

Art Unit: 2174

display a workspace on a computer screen, the workspace having at least one toolbar including a plurality of icons that each represents a tool wherein a non-modal dialog box is displayed when an icon is chosen (fig. 8; workspace with toolbar 830 comprising a plurality of tool icons and a non-modal dialog box associated with an icon); and

hide or shrink the non-modal dialog box when an icon is chosen when a preferences option is enabled and a cursor is moved outside the boundaries of the non-modal dialog box wherein the non-modal dialog box is restored when a restore action occurs (fig. 8; col.8, line 31; consistent with the definition of pull-down menus is its ability to remain available as long as a user holds it open).

As per claim 13, Watson teaches a computer program residing on a computer-readable medium to include instruction to permit a user to disable and enable the preference option (col. 11, lines 43-44).

As per claims 14 and 15, Watson teaches a computer program residing on a computer-readable medium wherein the restore action comprises moving the cursor over a predetermined hot-spot on the workspace and the hot-spot includes at least one of a tool icon and a preference option icon (figs. 8 and 13; col. 11, lines 11-12).

As per claim 16, Watson teaches a computer program residing on a computer-readable medium wherein at least one hot-spot can be moved to a location anywhere on the workspace (col. 9, lines 57-62).

As per claim 17, Watson teaches a computer program residing on a computer-readable medium wherein the restore action is entry of a key combination (fig. 4; col. 9, lines 41-43).

Application/Control Number: 09/696.882

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 18, Watson teaches a computer program residing on a computer-readable medium wherein the cursor is centered on the restored dialog box (col. 1, lines 48-49; wherein centering is inherent in order for users to move cursors across applications and across partitions).

As per claim 20, Watson teaches a computer program residing on a computer-readable medium comprising instructions enabling a user to customize the preference option (col. 9, lines 52-56).

As per claim 21, Watson teaches a computer program residing on a computer-readable medium wherein a preference option properties dialog box including at least one customizable feature is provided (col. 9, lines 58-60).

As per claim 22, Watson teaches a computer program residing on a computer-readable medium wherein the customizable features include at least one of a choice of a choice of a large or small preference option box, a choice of automatically positioning a tool settings dialog, a new tool delay time, and a hide dialog delay time (fig. 8; col. 9, lines 62-63; customizable features such as a choice of a large or small preference option box such as depicted in top right corner of 830).

Claim 1 is similar in scope to claim 12 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 2 and 3 are individually similar in scope to individual claims 14 and 15 respectively and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 4 is similar in scope to claim 16 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 5 is similar in scope to claim 17 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 6 is similar in scope to claim 18 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 8 is similar in scope to claim 13 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 9 is similar in scope to claim 20 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 10 is similar in scope to claim 21 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 11 is similar in scope to claim 22 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watson et al. ("Watson", US 5,912,666) in view of Screen Dumps of Microsoft Word 2000 ("Ms Word").

As per claim 19, Watson teaches a computer program residing on a computer-readable medium wherein the restore action is entry of a key combination (fig. 4; col. 9, lines 41-43). Watson does not explicitly disclose repeating the key combination to hide or shrink the dialog box. MS Word teaches a computer program residing on a computer-readable medium wherein the restore action is entry of a key combination and repeating the key combination shrinks the dialog box (figs. 2-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to include MS Word's teaching of repeating a key combination to shrink a dialog box to Watson's teaching of a restore action comprising entry of a key combination in order to allow users control of a computer action without having to remember additional commands.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,882

Art Unit: 2174

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Johnston (US 5,546,528) teaches a method of displaying multiple sets of information in the same area of a computer screen.

Marcos et al. (US 6,384,849) teach a method for displaying controls in a system using a graphical user interface.

Ross (US 6,266,060 B1) teaches menu management mechanism that displays menu items based on multiple heuristic factors.

Alimpick et al. (US 6,232,968 B1) teach a data processor controlled display system with a plurality of switchable customized basic function interfaces for the control of varying types of operations.

Meisner et al. (US 6,313,824 B1) teach an image preview system.

Khan et al. (US 6,157,934) teach a method and apparatus for using distributed spreadsheets in a client/server architecture for workflow automation.

Aourane (US 6,058,368) teaches a reliability growth tool.

Goldstein (US 5,812,132) teaches a windowed computer display.

Beaton et al. (US 6,340,979) teach a contextual gesture interface.

Gentile (US 5,504,842) teaches a method and apparatus for processing data for a visual-output device with reduced buffer memory requirements.

Ubillos (US 5,999,173) teaches a method and apparatus for video editing with video clip representations displayed along a time line.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,882

Art Unit: 2174

Scott et al. (US 5,675,752) teach an interactive applications generator for an interactive

presentation environment.

Tamura et al. (US 6,144,765) teach a figure processing method.

Inquires

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lê Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7601. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are

as follows:

(703) 746-7238 [After Final Communication]

(703) 746-7239 [Official Communication]

(703) 746-7240 [For status inquiries, Draft Communication]

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Lê Nguyen

Patent Examiner

March 17, 2003

Vistine Vincaio

Page 7

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100